JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Monica Losota				DEFENDANTS Child Guildance Resource Centers, Inc.						
777 West Germantown Pike, Apartment 728				2000 Old West Chester Pike						
Plymouth Meeting, PA 19426 (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Montgomery				Havertown, PA 19083 County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Delaware						
	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)						
				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number	er)		Attorneys (If Known	n)					
2 Penn Center, 1500 JFF Tel: 267-546-0131	K Blvd, Suite 1240, Ph	iladelphia, PA 1910	2							
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION				nn m/o					
	21.2)ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF 1 (For Diversity Cases Only)		AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in and One Box fo			
U.S. Government Plaintiff		Not a Party)	Citize		PTF DEF	Incorporated or P		PTF	DEF 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	O 2 O 2	Incorporated and	Principal Place	 5	5	
Betendan	(matcute Chizensh	ip of raines in hem m)			0 3 0 3	of Business In Foreign Nation	Another State	□ 6	□ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Or	alv)	For	reign Country	Clic	l: hara for: Natura	of Suit Code De	agription		
CONTRACT		DRTS	FO	RFEITURE/PENALTY		k here for: Nature NKRUPTCY		STATUT		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury -	□ 62	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881		beal 28 USC 158	375 False Cl			
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	□ 69	☐ 690 Other		28 USC 157		376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical	PROPERTY RIGHTS		ERTY RIGHTS	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust		nent		
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			☐ 820 Cop		☐ 430 Banks an ☐ 450 Commer		g	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability ☐ 340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal			☐ 835 Pate	ent - Abbreviated	☐ 460 Deportat	ion		
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability			□ 840 Tra	v Drug Application demark	☐ 470 Racketes	er Influenc Organizati		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER* 370 Other Fraud		LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIA 861 HIA	L SECURITY	☐ 480 Consum ☐ 490 Cable/Sa	er Credit		
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending		Act	☐ 862 Blac	ck Lung (923)	☐ 850 Securitie	s/Commo	dities/	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	D 720	0 Labor/Management Relations	☐ 863 DIV	VC/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	Exchang 890 Other St		tions	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability		Railway Labor Act Family and Medical	☐ 865 RSI	(405(g))	☐ 891 Agricult	ural Acts		
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice	56		Leave Act			☐ 895 Freedom			
210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION: Habeas Corpus:		Other Labor Litigation I Employee Retirement		AL TAX SUITS es (U.S. Plaintiff	Act 896 Arbitrati	on		
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act	or I	Defendant)	☐ 899 Adminis	trative Pro		
☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence				—Third Party JSC 7609	Act/Revi Agency I	ew or App Decision	eal of	
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty	TOTAL STREET	IMMIGRATION			☐ 950 Constitut	tionality of	ſ	
	Employment	Other:	□ 462	Naturalization Applicatio			State Sta	State Statutes		
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	☐ 540 Mandamus & Other ☐ 550 Civil Rights	1 465	Other Immigration Actions	1					
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee -								
		Conditions of								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	One Roy Only)	Confinement								
X 1 Original ☐ 2 Ren	noved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reins Reope	ened Anoth	er District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation	i-	Multidist Litigation	n -	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you are	filing (D	(specify o not cite jurisdictional sta		Transfer	, l	Direct Fil	e	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N 42 U.S.C.A § 121 Brief description of ca	01 et seq use:								
VII. REQUESTED IN	Americans with D		DE	MANID C		NIEGY NEG			200	
COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 22	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.		EMAND \$ 150,000.00		CHECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complain No	it:	
VIII. RELATED CASE				*						
IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE										
POR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	CL	PRECORD						
	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE			

Case 2:18-cv-00696-RK Document 1 Filed 02/16/18 Page 2 of 14

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

monica Loso	ta	CIVIL ACTIO	N		
Child Guidance Res	oure Centu, Inc.	NO.			
plaintiff shall complete a C filing the complaint and ser side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant	Case Management Track De we a copy on all defendants event that a defendant do t shall, with its first appeara arties, a Case Management	elay Reduction Plan of this court, consignation Form in all civil cases at the court of the plan set forth on the set of agree with the plaintiff regalance, submit to the clerk of court and Track Designation Form specifying ssigned.	the time of the reverse rding said d serve on		
SELECT ONE OF THE I	FOLLOWING CASE MA	NAGEMENT TRACKS:			
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services de	s requesting review of a decenying plaintiff Social Secu	cision of the Secretary of Health urity Benefits.	()		
(c) Arbitration - Cases req	uired to be designated for a	arbitration under Local Civil Rule 5	3.2. ()		
(d) Asbestos – Cases invol exposure to asbestos.	ving claims for personal in	jury or property damage from	()		
commonly referred to a	is complex and that need sp	tracks (a) through (d) that are pecial or intense management by ailed explanation of special	()		
(f) Standard Management	 Cases that do not fall into 	o any one of the other tracks.	W		
Q/16/18 Date	Graham F. B Attorney-at-law	Attorney for			
267-546-0131	215-944-6	124 grahamb@encsh	rare. Com		
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: 777 West Cermantown Pike,	Apartment 728, Plymouth Meeting, PA 194
Address of Defendant: 2000 Old West Chester Pil	Ke, Havertown PA 19083
	Wester Pike, Havertown PA 19083 se Side For Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent of	
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.C	Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Yes□ No□
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes No No
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following question	ons:
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or v	within one year previously terminated action in this court?
2 D	Ycs□ No□
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction action in this court?	n as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated
	Ycs□ No□
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or	any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this court?	Ycs□ No□
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro	se civil rights case filed by the same individual?
, spend of pro-	Yes□ No□
	163— 160—
CIVIL: (Place / in one category only)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contract	ets 1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. 🗹 Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability - Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
 All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 	
	N CERTIFICATION
1, CANAN + . VOANO , counsel of record do h	propriate Category) sereby certify: wledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	reege and benef, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: RMO/18 _ Sh Mil	92692
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury	only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now p	ending or within one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	Λ
DATE: 2/110/18 /th M	976.97
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#

Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MONICA LOSOTA

Apartment 728

Plymouth Meeting, PA 19462

777 West Germantown Pike

JURY DEMANDED

Plaintiff,

No.

CHILD GUIDANCE RESOURCE

v.

CENTERS, INC.

2000 Old West Chester Pike

Havertown, PA 19083

:

Defendant

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

I. Parties and Reasons for Jurisdiction.

- 1. Plaintiff, MONICA LOSOTA (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is an adult individual residing at the above address.
- Defendant, CHILD GUIDANCE RESOURCE CENTERS, INC. ("Defendant") is a business corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and a business address as captioned above.
- 3. At all times material hereto, Defendant employed Plaintiff at its West Chester Pike address as set forth above and qualified as Plaintiff's employer under the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Family and Medical Leave Act and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA").
- Plaintiff exhausted her administrative remedies pursuant to the Equal
 Employment Opportunity Act and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act. (See Exhibit A, a

true and correct copy of a dismissal and notice of rights issued by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.)

- 5. This action is instituted pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Family and Medical Leave Act, the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act and applicable federal and state law.
 - 6. Jurisdiction is conferred by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.
- 7. Supplemental jurisdiction over the Plaintiff's state law claims is conferred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 8. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because Defendant conducts business in this district, and because a substantial part of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district. Plaintiff was working for Defendant in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania at the time of the illegal actions by Defendant as set forth herein.

II. Operative Facts.

- 9. On or about September 23, 2013, Plaintiff was hired as a mental health professional in Defendant's "Family First" department.
- 10. On August 7, 2016, Plaintiff was experiencing double vision, and was admitted to Jefferson Hospital.
- 11. On August 10, 2016, Plaintiff was discharged from Jefferson Hospital and referred to Dr. Robert Sergott, a neuro-ophthamologist.
 - 12. On September 2, 2016, Dr. Sergott diagnosed Plaintiff with multiple sclerosis.
- 13. Plaintiff began a treatment plan with Dr. Sergott with the aim of stabilizing herM.S. condition and recovery to a point where she would be able to drive and return to work.

- 14. On September 9, 2016, Plaintiff was informed by Defendant's Staff Development Coordinator that she was approved for an FMLA leave beginning on August 8, 2016, with a return to work date of November 1, 2016.
- 15. On October 14, 2016, Plaintiff attended a follow-up appointment with Dr. Sergott, at which time Dr Sergott believed her condition had not yet improved to the point that she could return to work.
- 16. Dr. Sergott informed Plaintiff that she would not be cleared to return to work until January 16, 2017, and provided her with a report stating her anticipated return to work.
- 17. Shortly after her October 14, 2016 appointment, Plaintiff met with Defendant's Human Resources Representative, Marsha Ejiofor, and Division Director, Michael Graziano, to discuss her medical condition and notify Defendant that she would require an accommodation for additional time off.
- 18. Mr. Graziano told Plaintiff to prepare a letter requesting a leave of absence, but that Plaintiff should "not worry about it" and focus on recovering her health.
- 19. On October 25, 2016, Plaintiff did as she was instructed and sent a letter to Defendant requesting an extension of her leave through January 13, 2017, and provided a copy of her doctor's note.
- 20. On October 26, 2016, Plaintiff received a letter in response indicating that Defendant would not be granting Plaintiff a leave of absence and was instead terminating her employment.
- 21. Plaintiff's physician cleared her return to work at full capacity on January 16, 2017, as Plaintiff had previously notified Defendant in her request for an accommodation and leave of absence.

- 22. Defendant refused to engage in a meaningful back and forth towards the development of an accommodation of Plaintiff's M.S. condition, as required under the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- 23. Defendant failed to accommodate Plaintiff in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- 24. As a direct and proximate result of her M.S. diagnosis, Plaintiff was terminated, by Defendant.
- 25. At all times material, Defendant was hostile to Plaintiff's disability and her need to utilize FMLA time.
- 26. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct in terminating Plaintiff, Plaintiff sustained great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, lost wages, as well as emotional distress, humiliation, pain and suffering and other damages as described below.

III. Causes of Action.

COUNT I – TITLE I CLAIM--AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (42 U.S.C.A. § 12101 et seq)

- 27. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-26 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 28. At all times material hereto, and pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq., an employer may not discriminate against an employee based on a disability.
- 29. Plaintiff is a qualified employee and person within the definition of Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq..
- 30. Defendant is an "employer" and thereby subject to the strictures of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq..

- 31. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff had a qualified disability, as described above.
- 32. Defendant's conduct in terminating Plaintiff is an adverse action, was taken as a result of her disability and constitutes a violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq..
- 33. Defendant failed to engage in a meaningful back and forth discussion after Plaintiff requests an accommodation for her disability.
 - 34. Defendant failed to reasonably accommodate Plaintiff's disability.
- 35. As a proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of wages, lost benefits, as well as emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering, consequential damages and Plaintiff has also sustained work loss, loss of opportunity, and a permanent diminution of her earning capacity and a claim is made therefore.
- 36. As a result of the conduct of Defendant's owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive damages.
- 37. Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq Plaintiff demands attorneys fees and court costs.

COUNT II – VIOLATION OF THE PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS ACT (43 P.S. § 955)

- 38. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-37 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 39. At all times material hereto, and pursuant to the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq., an employer may not discriminate against an employee based on a disability.

- 40. Plaintiff is a qualified employee and person within the definition of Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq..
- 41. Defendant is an "employer" and thereby subject to the strictures of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq,.
- 42. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff had a qualified disability, as described above. Plaintiff's disability profoundly interfered with her day to day life activities.
- 43. Defendant's conduct in terminating Plaintiff is an adverse action, was taken as a result of her disability and constitutes a violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq..
 - 44. Defendant failed to accommodate Plaintiff's disability.
- 45. Defendant failed to engage in a meaningful back and forth discussion in an effort to accommodate Plaintiff's disability.
- 46. As a proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of wages, as well as personal injury, emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering, consequential damages and Plaintiff has also sustained work loss, loss of opportunity, and a permanent diminution of her earning capacity and a claim is made therefore.
- 47. As a result of the conduct of Defendant's owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive damages.
- 48. Pursuant to the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq., Plaintiff demands attorneys fees and court costs.

COUNT III – VIOLATION OF FMLA—FAILURE TO REINSTATE (29 U.S.C. §2601 et seq.)

- 49. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-48 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 50. As set forth above, Plaintiff was entitled to medical leave pursuant to the FMLA, 29 U.S.C. §2601, et seq..
- 51. Instead of reinstating Plaintiff to her former position upon her completion of her FMLA leave, Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment.
- 52. Defendant failed to reinstate Plaintiff to employment in violation of the provisions of FMLA.
- 53. As a proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of wages, as well as emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering, consequential damages and Plaintiff has also sustained work loss, loss of opportunity, and a permanent diminution of her earning capacity and a claim is made therefore.
- 54. As a result of the conduct of Defendant's owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive and/or liquidated damages.
- 55. Pursuant to the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993, 29 U.S.C. §2601, et seq Plaintiff demands attorneys fees and court costs.

COUNT IV – VIOLATION OF FMLA—RETALIATION (29 U.S.C. §2601 et seq.)

- 56. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-55 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 57. As set forth above, Plaintiff was entitled to medical leave pursuant to the FMLA, 29 U.S.C. §2601, et seq.

- 58. Instead of reinstating Plaintiff to her former position upon the completion of her FMLA leave, Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment, an adverse action.
- 59. Defendant's motivation in terminating Plaintiff's employment was based, in part, upon her application for FMLA leave.
- damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of wages, as well as emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering, consequential damages and Plaintiff has also sustained work loss, loss of opportunity, and a permanent diminution of her earning capacity and a claim is made therefore.
- 61. As a result of the conduct of Defendant's owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive and/or liquidated damages.
- 62. Pursuant to the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993, 29 U.S.C. §2601, et seq Plaintiff demands attorneys fees and court costs.

IV. Relief Requested.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Monica Losota demands judgment in her favor and against Defendant, Child Guidance Resource Centers, Inc. in an amount in excess of \$150,000.00 together with:

- A. Compensatory damages, including but not limited to: back pay, front pay, past lost wages, future lost wages. Lost pay increases, lost pay incentives, lost opportunity, lost benefits, lost future earning capacity, injury to reputation, mental and emotional distress, pain and suffering
- B. Punitive damages;
- C. Attorneys fees and costs of suit;

- D. Interest, delay damages; and,
- E. Any other further relief this Court deems just proper and equitable.

LAW OFFICES OF ERIC A. SHORE, P.C.

GRAHAM F. BAIRD, ESQUIRE

Two Penn Center

1500 JFK Boulevard, Suite 1240

Philadelphia, PA 19110

Attorney for Plaintiff, Monica Losota

Date: 2/16/18

EXH. A

EEOC Form 161-B (11/16)

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED ON REQUEST)

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED ON REQUEST)					
777 W Apt 72	a Losota Germantown Pike 8 uth Meeting, PA 19462		From:	Philadelphia District Office 801 Market Street Suite 1300 Philadelphia, PA 19107	
	On behalf of person(s) aggrieved w. CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))			
EEOC Charge	e No.	EEOC Representative		Telephone No.	
530-2017-0	1829	Legal Unit, Legal Technician		(215) 440-2828	
	■ 000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(5	See also	the additional information enclosed with this form.)	
Title VII of the Act (GINA): been issued of your received.	This is your Notice of Right to Suat your request. Your lawsuit und	e, issued under Title VII, the ADA der Title VII, the ADA or GINA mu	or GINA	or the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination A based on the above-numbered charge. It has ed in a federal or state court <u>WITHIN 90 DAYS</u> he time limit for filing suit based on a claim under	
X	More than 180 days have passe	ed since the filing of this charge.			
	Less than 180 days have passe be able to complete its administ	ed since the filing of this charge, b trative processing within 180 days	ut I have from the	e determined that it is unlikely that the EEOC will a filing of this charge.	
X	The EEOC is terminating its pro	cessing of this charge.			
	The EEOC will continue to proc	ess this charge.			
Age Discrim 90 days after your case:	ination in Employment Act (AD you receive notice that we have	DEA): You may sue under the AD completed action on the charge.	EA at an In this re	y time from 60 days after the charge was filed until egard, the paragraph marked below applies to	
	The EEOC is closing your case 90 DAYS of your receipt of the	. Therefore, your lawsuit under the source. Otherwise, your right	ne ADEA o sue ba	must be filed in federal or state court <u>WITHIN</u> sed on the above-numbered charge will be lost.	
	The EEOC is continuing its handling of your ADEA case. However, if 60 days have passed since the filing of the charge, you may file suit in federal or state court under the ADEA at this time.				
Equal Pay Act (EPA): You already have the right to sue under the EPA (filing an EEOC charge is not required.) EPA suits must be brought in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible.					
If you file suit, based on this charge, please send a copy of your court complaint to this office.					
	_	On behalf of	the Com	11/21/17	
Enclosures(s)	Kevin J. Be Acting District		(Date Mailed)	
cc: N	//arsha Fijofor		Grahan	a Baird	

Marsha Ejiofor Human Resources CHILD GUIDANCE RESOURCE CENTER 2000 Old West Chester Plke Havertown, PA 19083

Graham Baird LAW OFFICES OF ERIC SHORE 2 Penn Center Ste 1240 1500 JFK Blvd PO Box 58519 Philadelphia, PA 19102